

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/933,527	08/20/2001	Robert V. Norby	1095-1068.1	5832	
75	7590 06/28/2004		EXAMINER		
Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson			MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J		
P.O. Box 2786 Chicago, IL 6	0690-2786		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			3617		
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{A}^{-}			
	09/933,527	NORBY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this comi ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
	, <u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15,20 and 21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17,19 and 22</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2002 is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		9(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		cation No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			age			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not reco	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	* '				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	มเ Date nal Patent Application (PTO-1:	52)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

The Response to the Restriction requirement stated that the applicant elected the apparatus claims of group one which included 1-7. Group one listed in the Restriction requirement listed claims 1-7 and 16-22 as group one and claims 8-15 as group two. Claims 1-7 and 16-22 have been examined and claims 8-15 have been removed from consideration. Claims 20 and 21 have also been removed from consideration since the applicant has stated that they were originally erroneously numbered and show no actual claims.

The Examiner has accepted the Drawing corrections filed on 6/19/02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the fully sheared weld" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the weld collar" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the sheared weld collar" in lines 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3617

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 7 depends from claim 7. For examining purposes the Examiner has read this claim to be dependent from claim 4 since it is written similarly to claim 6, which is also dependent from claim 4.

Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 18 recites the term "sheared weld collar (flash)". The use of parenthesis in the claim is redundant. The claims would be better written if the parentheses were removed and either the term "flash" or "weld collar" was used.

Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 22 is dependent from claim 21, which, as stated by applicant was erroneously numbered. For examining purposes the Examiner has read claim 22 to be dependent from claim 19. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thelen et al (US 6,396,020) in view of Clews et al (US 4,147,913) further in view of Theurer et al (US 4,983,801).

Art Unit: 3617

Thelen et al discloses a rail welding device comprised of a pair of quadrants 294 which make up a cooling box 310. The cooling box is designed to contain the weld collar flash and debris of the weld. The Examiner has read the firebox of the present application to serve as a containment device for the welding device. Therefore the cooling box of the previous patent and the firebox of the present invention are understood to be the same part. A push rod and shaft assembly actuates the quadrants of the cooling box to surround the track. This assembly is described in column 3 lines 43-61.

Thelen et al discloses a welding system as described above. However Thelen et al does not show the use of a shear die as part of the quadrants applied to the rail. Clews et al discloses a welding assembly using shear dies to prep adjacent surfaces of parts prior to the application of a rail. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied the use of shear dies, like that of Clews et al, to a welding assembly, like that of Thelen et al in order to insure the safe and proper application of welds to rails used on a major transit system.

Thelen et al discloses a welding system as described above. However Thelen et al does not show a device for applying a force to the rails to pull and align them together for the weld. Theurer et al (US 4,983,801) discloses a device for tensioning rails to reduce the gap between adjacent rails. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied a rail tensioning device, like that of Theurer et al, to a welding assembly, like that of Thelen et al in order to insure that the rails were in proper positioning so that the weld will be properly applied.

Art Unit: 3617

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thelen et al in view of Theurer (US 4,272,664).

Thelen et al discloses a welding assembly as described above. However, Thelen et al does not disclose the use of flash butt welding. Theurer discloses a welding assembly that specifically uses flash butt welding. It would have been an obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art to use flash butt welding as an alternative to the arc welding or gas welding presently in use.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 7 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 16, 17, 19 and 22 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RJM June 23, 2004

ROBERT J. McCAPRY, JR.
PATENT EXAMINER
39.7

6/23/04